

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **TURNER, DANIEL A**

< Date: 2016-06-17 21:11 GMT+02:00Subject:

RE: French TV / Interview Request / C8 contamination

To: Arthur Bouvart

Hi Arthur, The following is our response to your inquiry.

Regards, Dan

Your questions and insinuations reflect a methodology of cherry picking passages from a massive number of documents to support a PFOA narrative that distorts the history, context and facts relating to this issue. DuPont studied PFOA carefully for decades and promptly notified federal, state regulatory and local authorities of its findings.

Knowledge about PFOA continues to evolve. Nevertheless, at no time during the period PFOA was released from the DuPont Washington Works facility did anyone at DuPont ever believe it was likely to harm anyone. These releases complied with the law and occurred with the full knowledge of regulators.

As you should know from the materials you have reviewed, the probable link standard that the Science Panel used to guide its findings is less demanding than a causation standard, as the panel members themselves acknowledged. The studies that have since been published relating to the Panel's work will give the public and the broader scientific and regulatory community the opportunity to better understand the analyses the Panel performed, their strengths and weaknesses, and how they contribute to the question of whether

PFOA is harmful. We believe that those studies should provide assurance that any risk associated with PFOA exposure is small. Indeed, state and federal public health agencies have not reported a higher incidence of disease in the communities surrounding the Washington Works facility than in the general population.

Because of the biopersistence of PFOA, DuPont voluntarily tested the water in communities surrounding Washington Works to ascertain levels of PFOA in the water. When it detected PFOA that exceeded conservative guidelines, it took responsible steps to remediate. In addition, we fully

that exceeded conservative guidelines, it took responsible steps to remediate. In addition, we fully funded filtering systems in six water districts to levels of non-detection, well below any established guideline. DuPont also voluntarily convened community advisory panels and discussed with community representatives the issue of PFOA in drinking water and what was then known about potential PFOA health effects.

DuPont has always acted responsibly based on the health and environmental information that was available to the company, industry and regulators about PFOA at the time of its usage and we moved to a less biopersistent alternative as soon as we feasibly could.